

Communication from Public

Name: Deborah L. Zak

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 09:09 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: We live in one of the most beautiful states in this country. Let's stop making our city uglier by the day with these kiosks. Thank you, Deborah Zak

Communication from Public

Name: Ron Bitzer

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 09:03 AM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: Sir / Madam, The September 15, 2022 staff recommendation to the Commissioners of City Tourism described City Council action in 2019 "...to explore how a tourism kiosk program could be realized in the public right of way along side the new Sidewalk and Transit Amenities Program (STAP) ..." Doane Liu, Executive Directive, p.1. (Author needs access to proofreading!) Then on September 28, 2022 ---- almost 3 years later, City Council amends the Municipal Code Section 67.02(b) exempting outdoor advertising structures from a prohibition against location in the public right of way IF THE STRUCTURE IS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS, Ordinance 187635, There is no mention of specific non-STAP advertising structures in the City record for this amendment that I find. Why did the environmental review for STAP not address a public right of way advertising plan --- Interactive Kiosk Experience (IKE) units --- linked to STAP from Day One? This is a STAP-IKE advertising program and the City's environmental assessment is deficient.

Communication from Public

Name: Sue

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 09:41 AM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles. I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons: • No environmental review process (CEQA review) • No financial analysis (CLA or CAO review) • No competitive procurement process/No RFP issued • Inappropriate reliance on a Houston Texas RFP • No public health review • No traffic/public safety analysis related to digital signage and driver distraction • Violates the City Charter by bypassing the City Planning Commission • Privacy right violations --data capture from those passing • May undermine City authority to regulate all billboards/off-site signage For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: M. Healy

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 10:09 AM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: Digital ads will clutter sidewalks and DISTRACT drivers in a city where there are already too many distractions. These ads will also VIOLATE OUR RIGHT TO PRIVACY as they will pick up data from our cell phones. Please do not allow them. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: John Priebe

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 10:28 AM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: The revenue generated by the proposed digital signs is too little compared to the visual pollution and loss of sidewalk space this project would cause.

Communication from Public

Name: Karen Wellenkamp

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 03:18 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: I can't think of anything more revolting than more advertising blighting our city landscape. These kiosks will obstruct the sidewalks and uglify the City. Please no. We need less advertising and fewer obstructions, not more.

Communication from Public

Name: Pamela L Smyth

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 01:46 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: I learned of this matter just prior to this hearing. I implore you all to consider the impact on the community of these kiosks along the city sidewalks. This, to me, constitutes an outrageous amount of advertising and what is well beyond "reasonable" in terms of what the community needs or wants. How much more blight can you possibly add to your already overly impacted city or what is left of scenic Los Angeles views? Please think about what you are doing here and the long-lasting effects this has on people--the human element--those who are forced to live with this kind of ongoing visual and electronic impact. Yours sincerely, Pamela L. Smyth Redlands, CA 92373

Communication from Public

Name: Pamela L Smyth

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 01:56 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members: I just discovered this matter a few minutes ago. Please consider the impact of these kiosks on the community as there appears not to have been any kind of community involvement in these decisions. I am disheartened to see the "mess" you are making out of your city streets and sidewalks with this outrageous number of advertising kiosks and the overwhelming amount of digital display. Such widespread use of digital information has an impact on people--on their nerves, on their eyes, on their perceptions as they walk and drive about. This is visual overload. Why is no consideration given to the quality of life of your citizenry here? Yours sincerely, Pamela Smyth Redlands, CA

Communication from Public

Name: Davida Kristy Kristy

Date Submitted: 11/09/2022 01:01 PM

Council File No: 22-1154

Comments for Public Posting: Please don't clutter and obstruct our sidewalks with advertising. There is already too much intrusion into our lives. It's almost impossible to avoid existing advertising already. Please Please Please don't add to the cacophony.